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## Results for Q3, FY 2020 March (Consolidated)

1. Orders: $\quad \Delta 5.9 \%$ vs last year.
2. Revenue:
$+14.3 \%$ vs last year. Record high as Q3.
3. Income

Gross Profit, Operating Income, Ordinary Income and Net earnings attributable to controlling interest increased significantly.
Both Operating Income and Ordinary Income were record high as Q3.
Asunaro Aoki Construction Co.,Ltd. became wholly owned subsidiary of TCG on November 11, 2019. TCG captured 100\% of Asunaro Aoki 's net earnings starting from Q3 of FY 2020 March.
4. Orders carried forward:

Although work in progress turned into revenue smoothly, orders carried forward continued to increase.
Unit:BJPY

|  | FY2019/Mar 3Q | FY2020Mar 3Q | +/- (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orders | 218.0 | 205.1 | $\triangle 5.9 \%$ |
| Revenue | 174.8 | 199.8 | +14.3\% |
| Gross Profit (\% vs Revenue) | $\begin{array}{r} 22.4 \\ (12.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.9 \\ (14.5 \%) \end{array}$ | +28.8\% |
| Operating Income (\% vs Revenue) | $\begin{array}{r} 5.9 \\ (3.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ (5.2 \%) \end{array}$ | +75.6\% |
| Ordinary Income (\% vs Revenue) | $\begin{array}{r} 5.9 \\ (3.4 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.1 \\ (5.1 \%) \end{array}$ | +69.8\% |
| Net earnings attributable to controlling interest (\% vs Revenue) | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2 \\ (1.8 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7 \\ (2.9 \%) \end{array}$ | +77.7\% |
| Orders carried forward | 318.8 | 336.5 | +5.5\% |

## By-Group Orders, Revenue and Operating Income (Consolidated)

1.Orders:
2.Revenue:
3.Operating Income:
$\Delta 5.9 \%$ vs last year.
Takamatsu Group: $\Delta 0.8 \mathrm{BJPY}(\Delta 0.9 \%)$, Asunaro Aoki Group: $\Delta 11.4 \mathrm{BJPY}(\Delta 9.2 \%)$ vs last year.
Record high as Q3. $+14.3 \%$ vs last year.
Takamatsu Group: +22.7BJPY ( $+34.7 \%$ ), Asunaro Aoki Group: +2.2 BJPY ( $+2.1 \%$ ) vs last year.
Significant increase of $+75.6 \%$ vs last year.
Takamatsu Group: +2.5BJPY ( $+75.9 \%$ ) , Asunaro Aoki Group: +2.0BJPY ( $+62.0 \%$ ) vs last year.
$5.2 \%,+1.8 \mathrm{pt}$ improvement vs last year of $3.4 \%$.
4. Operating Income \% :


## TCG : By-Group Split (Consolidated)

1. Orders: Takamatsu Group: $\triangle$ 0.8BJPY. Takamatsu Techno(Osaka / Tokyo) and Takamatsu Estate (Osaka / Tokyo) had strong performance, but dragged by Takamatsu Corporation's $\Delta 10.1 \mathrm{BJPY}$.
Asunaro Aoki Group: $\Delta 11.4 \mathrm{BJPY}$. Asunaro Aoki Construction: $\Delta 8.6$, Mirai Construction: $\Delta 1.5$, Toko Geotech: $\Delta 0.9$.
2. Revenue: Takamatsu Group:+22.7BJPY. Takamatsu Corporation's +15.7BJPY greatly contributed to the performance.

Asunaro Aoki Group:+2.2BJPY. Asunaro Aoki Construction:+6.2, Mirai Construction: $\Delta 3.7$.
3. Op.Income: Takamatsu Group:+2.5BJPY. Takamatsu Corporation:+2.0.

Asunaro Aoki Group:+2.0BJPY. Asunaro Aoki Construction:+1.6.


## TCG : By-Segment Split (Consolidated)

1. Orders: Both civil engineering and architecture decreased. Decrease in architecture mainly by Takamatsu Corp. and Asunaro Aoki Construction. Decrease in Ásunaro Aoki Construction was due to backlash of large-scale housing complex and PFI orders of last year. Decrease in civil engineering mainly by Asunaro Aoki Construction and Mirai Construction.
2. Revenue: Civil engineering decreased, but architecture increased significantly due to steady progress of construction by Takamatsu Corporation. 3. Op.Income: Both civil engineering and architecture increased significantly. Increase in civil engineering was due to Asunaro Aoki Construction. Increase in architecture was due to Takamatsu Corporation.

Takamatsu Construction Group


Orders Revenue Op.Income
Q3, FY Mar 2020
(Q3, FY Mar 2019)
$76.7 \quad 75.5$ 5.9 (84.4) (79.5)
$\triangle 9.1 \% \triangle 5.0 \%+51.6 \%$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111.0 | 107.0 | 6.3 |
| $(116.8)$ | $(78.5)$ | $(3.6)$ |
| $\triangle 4.9 \%$ | $+36.3 \%$ | $+75.1 \%$ |


| 17.3 | 17.3 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(16.8)$ | $(16.8)$ | $(1.0)$ |

$+2.8 \% \quad+2.8 \% \triangle 0.8 \%$


## Explanation of Increase of Operating Income vs. Last Year (Consolidated)

1. Operating Income increased by 4.50BJPY to grow from 5.96BJPY to 10.47BJPY.
2. The first reason for this growth is due to increase of gross profit of 6.48BJPY realized through revenue growth of 14.3\% (24.9BJPY).
3. Gross profit of Takamatsu Group increased by 4.21BJPY. Gross Profit of Takamatsu Corporation increased due mainly to increase of revenue. Gross profit of Tatsumi Planning (new Group company) was 0.43BJPY.
4. Gross profit of Asunaro Aoki Group increased by 2.23BJPY. Gross profit of Asunaro Aoki Construction increased by 1.82BJPY due mainly to improvement of gross margin.
5. SG\&A increased by 1.97BJPY as TCG Group.
$\rightarrow$ SG\&A of Takamatsu Group increased by 1.62BJPY. SG\&A of Takamatsu Corporation increased by 0.96BJPY, out of which personnel cost increased by 0.68BJPY.
SG\&A of new Group companies (Tatsumi Planning and Takamatsu House) were 0.50BJPY.
$\rightarrow$ SG\&A of Asunaro Aoki Group increased by 0.20BJPY, out of which SG\&A of Asunaro Aoki Construction increased by 0.14BJPY.


## Takamatsu Corporation : Orders and Its Outlook (Non-consolidated)

1. Orders for Q3 was 60.0BJPY, struggling vs annual plan of 110.0BJPY.
$\rightarrow$ One reason of this stagnant figure is because Takamatsu Corp stopped to record orders for projects with uncertain construction start period derived from stricter of order recording criteria.
$\rightarrow$ Revenue of FY Mar 2020 is well beyond track due to abundant carryover orders from FY Mar 2019.
$\rightarrow$ Dec. 2020 carryforward order is 143.9BJPY, still increasing vs. Dec. 2018 (133.7BJPY) and Mar. 2019 (143.3BJPY) carryforward amounts.
2. Kanto Area order was 31.8 BJPY , struggling at $51.3 \%$ vs annual plan.
3. Kansai Area order was 28.2 BJPY , also struggling at $58.8 \%$ vs annual plan. Further, due to increased ratio of orders for non-condos, we need to enhance proposal, design and construction capabilities towards non-condos that are now being conducted.
4. Takamatsu Corporation expect increased Q4 orders, and still aim to win orders of 110.0BJPY.
(BJPY)

| 120 | +7\% 110.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $+21 \%$ 103.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 21.7 |  | 34.0 | -11\% | 30.1 | +12\% | 33.8 | Non-Condos (Tokyo) | 60.0 |
|  |  | +39\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.6 |
| 40 | 24.5 |  |  | +122\% | 34.0 | $\Delta 6 \%$ | 32.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Condos } \\ & \text { (Tokyo) } \end{aligned}$ | 20.2 |
|  |  | +37\% | 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 11.2 | +30\% | 19.3 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Condos } \\ & \text { (Osaka) } \end{aligned}$ | 22.5 |
| 0 | 2.7 | 4.8 |  | 5.0 |  | +90\% | 16.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Condos } \\ & \text { (Osaka) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| FY Mar 2017 Actual |  | FY Mar 2018 Actual |  | FY Mar 2019 Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FY Mar 2020 Plan | 3Q FY Mar 2020 Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
## By-Group Orders, Revenue and Operating Income and Their Outlook(Consolidated)

1. Orders are behind annual target of 310.0BJPY, but still continue to head towards achieving the target.
2. Both revenue and Op.Income are well on track.
$\rightarrow$ We have no plan to change our annual target revenue of 310BJPY and Op. income of 13.3BJPY since revised GP\% of many individual projects are already reflected in our Q3 earnings, which was not the case in previous years.


Unit: BJPY Op.Income \#s inside bars don't match with the total due to admin (TCG Non-consolidated)costs

## Occupancy Rate of Condominiums Built by Takamatsu Corporation

1. Occupancy rate is continuing to show above 95\% for both Tokyo and Osaka+Nagoya for December 2019.
2. We believe rental condominium market is continuing to be tight for Tokyo and Osaka+Nagoya.
3. Although there are views that occupancy rate will drop for Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya through aging of the national population, we believe Takamatsu Corp. is remote from this view since Takamatsu Corp. concentrates in urban and near-station locations of the three cities, where population is still increasing.

| $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \% \\ 99.0 \% \end{array}$ | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Osaka \& Nagoya |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99.0 \% \\ & 98.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | Tokyo |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 97.0\% | 96.5\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 97.0 \% \\ & 96.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | 96.0\% 96.5\% 96.7\% |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95.0\% | 95.7\% | 96.0\% | 96.0\% | 96.8\% | 94.0\% | 95.8\% | 96.2\% | 95.5\% | 96.2\% |
| 94.0\% | 95.1\% | 95.6\% | 96.0\% |  |  | 95.1\% | 95.4\% | 95.4\% | 96.9\% |
| 93.0\% |  |  |  |  | 93.0\% |  |  |  |  |
| 92.0\% | --FY Mar. 2020 |  |  |  | 92.0\% | $\rightarrow$-FY Mar. 2020 |  |  |  |
| 91.0\% | ـ-FY Mar. 2019 |  |  |  | 91.0\% | _FY Mar. 2019 |  |  |  |
| 90.0\% | _TY Mar. 2018 |  |  |  | 90.0\% |  | $\pm$ | r. 2018 |  |
|  | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q |  | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q |
| Definition: | "Osaka and Nagoya" = Osaka, Kyoto and Hyogo Prefectures plus Nagoya City. <br> "Tokyo" = Tokyo, Kanagawa, Saitama and Chiba Prefectures <br> "Occupancy Rate" = (Number of occupied condo apartments built by Takamatsu Corp and managed by Takamatsu Estate at the end of each quarter) divided by (Number of condo apartments built by Takamatsu Corp and managed by Takamatsu Estate at the end of each quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Performance of Major Operating Companies

|  | 2020/3FY Q3 (BJPY) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | +/- (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Takamatsu Corporation | Takamatsu Group Others | $\begin{gathered} \text { Takamatsu } \\ \text { Group } \end{gathered}$ | Asunaro <br> Aoki Construction | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Mirai } \\ \text { Consutruction } \end{array}\right\|$ | Toko Geotech | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asunaro } \\ & \text { Aoki Group } \\ & \text { Others } \end{aligned}$ | Asunaro Aoki Grup | Others | TCG | Takamatsu Corporation | Takamatsu <br> Group Others | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Takamatsu } \\ & \text { Group } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Mirai } \\ \hline \text { Consutruction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Toko Geotech | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Asunaro } \\ \text { Aoki Group } \\ \text { Others } \end{gathered}$ | Asknaro | Others | TCG |
| Orders | 60.0 | 33.8 | 93.8 | 65.2 | 21.1 | 17.5 | 8.0 | 112.0 | $\triangle 0.7$ | 205.1 | -14.5\% | +38.2\% | $\triangle 0.9 \%$ | $\triangle 11.8 \%$ | $\triangle 6.9 \%$ | $\triangle 5.1 \%$ | $\triangle 2.5 \%$ | $\triangle 9.2 \%$ | - | $\triangle 5.9 \%$ |
| Civil Engineering | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 31.9 | 21.1 | 17.5 | 6.0 | 76.7 | $\triangle 0.0$ | 76.7 | - | - | - | $\triangle 12.8 \%$ | $\triangle 6.9 \%$ | $\triangle 5.1 \%$ | $\triangle 7.7 \%$ | $\triangle 9.1 \%$ | - | $\triangle 9.1 \%$ |
| Architecture | 59.0 | 19.0 | 78.1 | 32.1 | - | - | 1.9 | 34.1 | $\triangle 1.2$ | 111.0 | $\triangle 12.9 \%$ | +73.2\% | $\triangle 0.8 \%$ | $\triangle 11.7 \%$ | - | - | +17.7\% | $\triangle 10.4 \%$ | - | $\triangle 4.9 \%$ |
| Real Estate | 0.9 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\triangle 0.0$ | 1.1 | 0.4 | 17.3 | $\triangle 61.3 \%$ | +9.5\% | $\triangle 1.2 \%$ | +25.4\% | $\triangle 49.9 \%$ | $\triangle 5.1 \%$ | - | +25.2\% | +1,299.5\% | +2.8\% |
| Revenue | 59.4 | 28.5 | 88.0 | 69.1 | 19.5 | 16.2 | 7.0 | 111.9 | $\triangle 0.1$ | 199.8 | +36.0\% | +32.2\% | +34.7\% | +9.9\% | $\triangle 16.3 \%$ | +0.3\% | $\triangle 3.2 \%$ | +2.1\% | - | +14.3\% |
| Civil Engineering | 0.3 |  | 0.3 | 34.1 | 19.5 | 16.2 | 5.3 | 75.1 | $\triangle 0.0$ | 75.5 | $\triangle 44.5 \%$ |  | $\triangle 44.5 \%$ | +0.7\% | $\triangle 16.3 \%$ | +0.3\% | $\triangle 4.4 \%$ | $\triangle 4.7 \%$ |  | $\triangle 5.0 \%$ |
| Architecture | 58.1 | 13.8 | 72.0 | 33.8 | - | - | 1.7 | 35.5 | $\triangle 0.5$ | 107.0 | +42.9\% | +69.6\% | +47.4\% | +20.4\% | - | - | +1.9\% | +19.4\% |  | +36.3\% |
| Real Estate | 0.9 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 17.3 | $\triangle 61.3 \%$ | +9.5\% | $\triangle 1.2 \%$ | +25.4\% | $\triangle 49.9 \%$ | $\triangle 5.1 \%$ | - | +24.4\% | +17,398.9\% | +2.8\% |
| Gross Profit | 11.3 | 4.9 | 16.2 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 12.6 | 0.1 | 28.9 | +36.9\% | +30.9\% | +35.0\% | +33.2\% | $\triangle 8.4 \%$ | +8.8\% | +34.0\% | +21.5\% | +39.8\% | +28.8\% |
| Civil Engineering | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 9.8 | +278.3\% | - | +278.3\% | +53.2\% | $\triangle 8.1 \%$ | +8.9\% | +43.8\% | +26.4\% | +1,096.9\% | +27.1\% |
| Architecture | 11.1 | 2.7 | 13.9 | 2.5 | - | - | 0.2 | 2.8 | $\triangle 0.0$ | 16.6 | +38.0\% | +73.4\% | +43.8\% | +7.0\% | - | - | +1.1\% | +6.4\% | - | +35.5\% |
| Real Estate | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 0.1 | $\triangle 0.0$ | 0.0 | $\triangle 0.0$ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.4 | $\triangle 55.8 \%$ | +0.0\% | $\triangle 3.5 \%$ | +58.1\% | $\triangle 992.5 \%$ | $\triangle 5.5 \%$ | - | +66.7\% | +80.9\% | +1.1\% |
| (GP\% vs Revenue) | (19.0\%) | (17.2\%) | (18.4\%) | (10.6\%) | (7.5\%) | (15.3\%) | (19.4\%) | (11.3\%) |  | (14.5\%) | (+0.1\%) | ( $\triangle 0.2 \%$ ) | (+0.0\%) | (+1.9\%) | (+0.6\%) | (+1.2\%) | (+5.4\%) | (+1.8\%) |  | (+1.6\%) |
| (Civil Engineering) | (23.4\%) | - | (23.4\%) | (13.7\%) | (7.5\%) | (15.3\%) | (21.1\%) | (12.9\%) |  | (13.0\%) | (+20.0\%) | - | (+20.0\%) | (+4.7\%) | (+0.7\%) | (+1.2\%) | (+7.1\%) | (+3.2\%) |  | (+3.3\%) |
| (Architecture) | (19.2\%) | (19.8\%) | (19.3\%) | (7.5\%) | - | - | (15.2\%) | (7.9\%) |  | (15.6\%) | ( $\triangle 0.7 \%$ ) | $(+0.4 \%)$ | ( $\triangle 0.5 \%$ ) | ( $\triangle 0.9 \%$ ) | - | - | ( $\triangle 0.1 \%$ ) | ( $\triangle 1.0 \%$ ) | - | ( $\triangle 0.1 \%$ ) |
| (Real Estate) | (7.1\%) | (14.8\%) | (14.3\%) | (9.9\%) | ( $\triangle 124.1 \%)$ | (90.8\%) | ( $\triangle 945.3 \%$ ) | (7.7\%) | (37.3\%) | (14.4\%) | (+0.9\%) | ( $\triangle 1.4 \%$ ) | ( $\triangle 0.3 \%$ ) | (+2.0\%) | ( $\triangle 1311.1 \%)$ | ( $\triangle 0.4 \%$ ) | ( $\triangle 19.0 \%$ ) | (+2.0\%) | ( $\triangle 3,566.46)$ | ( $\triangle 0.3 \%$ ) |
| Operating Income | 4.1 | 1.8 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 5.3 | $\triangle 0.8$ | 10.4 | +101.5\% | +37.1\% | +75.9\% | +108.2\% | $\triangle 68.4 \%$ | +14.8\% | +100.1\% | +62.0\% |  | +75.6\% |
| (\% vs Revenue) | (7.0\%) | (6.5\%) | (6.8\%) | (4.7\%) | (0.4\%) | (8.0\%) | (10.2\%) | (4.7\%) | - | (5.2\%) | (+2.3\%) | (+0.2\%) | (+1.6\%) | (+2.2\%) | ( $\triangle 0.7 \%$ ) | (+1.0\%) | (+5.2\%) | (+1.8\%) | - | (+1.8\%) |
| Ordinary Income | 4.8 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | $\triangle 0.5$ | 5.2 | $\triangle 1.1$ | 10.1 | +86.4\% | +49.9\% | +77.8\% | +41.1\% | $\triangle 63.7 \%$ | +15.8\% | - | +62.1\% | - | +69.8\% |
| (\% vs Revenue) | (8.2\%) | (4.2\%) | (6.9\%) | (6.4\%) | (0.5\%) | (8.0\%) | ( $\triangle 7.2 \%$ ) | (4.7\%) |  | (5.1\%) | (+2.2\%) | (+0.5\%) | (+1.7\%) | (+1.4\%) | ( $\triangle 0.6 \%$ ) | (+1.1\%) | (+9.7\%) | (+1.8\%) | - | (+1.7\%) |
| Net earnings attributable to controlling interest | 3.5 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | $\triangle 0.6$ | 3.1 | $\triangle 1.4$ | 5.7 | +88.4\% | +48.9\% | +82.8\% | +20.4\% | $\triangle 98.9 \%$ | +13.7\% | - | +57.6\% | - | +77.7\% |
| (\% vs Revenue) | (5.9\%) | (1.6\%) | (4.5\%) | (4.4\%) | (0.0\%) | (5.0\%) | ( $\triangle 9.9 \%$ ) | (2.8\%) |  | (2.9\%) | (+1.6\%) | (+0.2\%) | (+1.2\%) | (+0.4\%) | ( $\triangle 0.7 \%$ ) | (+0.6\%) | (+9.5\%) | (+1.0\%) | - | (+1.0\%) |


[^0]:    TCG Takamatsu Construction Group

